WARNING

The President of the panel hearing this appeal directs that the following should be attached to the file:

An order restricting publication in this proceeding under ss. 486.4(1), (2), (3) or (4) or 486.6(1) or (2) of the *Criminal Code* shall continue. These sections of *the Criminal Code* provide:

- **486.4** (1) Subject to subsection (2), the presiding judge or justice may make an order directing that any information that could identify the complainant or a witness shall not be published in any document or broadcast or transmitted in any way, in proceedings in respect of
 - (a) any of the following offences;
 - (i) an offence under section 151, 152, 153, 153.1, 155, 159, 160, 162, 163.1, 170, 171, 172, 172.1, 173, 210, 211, 212, 213, 271, 272, 273, 279.01, 279.02, 279.03, 346 or 347,
 - (ii) an offence under section 144 (rape), 145 (attempt to commit rape), 149 (indecent assault on female), 156 (indecent assault on male) or 245 (common assault) or subsection 246(1) (assault with intent) of the *Criminal Code*, chapter C-34 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1970, as it read immediately before January 4, 1983, or
 - (iii) an offence under subsection 146(1) (sexual intercourse with a female under 14) or (2) (sexual intercourse with a female between 14 and 16) or section 151 (seduction of a female between 16 and 18), 153 (sexual intercourse with step-daughter), 155 (buggery or bestiality), 157 (gross indecency), 166 (parent or guardian procuring defilement) or 167 (householder permitting defilement) of the *Criminal Code*, chapter C-34 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1970, as it read immediately before January 1, 1988; or
 - (b) two or more offences being dealt with in the same proceeding, at least one of which is an offence referred to in any of subparagraphs (a)(i) to (iii).

- (2) In proceedings in respect of the offences referred to in paragraph (1)(a) or (b), the presiding judge or justice shall
 - (a) at the first reasonable opportunity, inform any witness under the age of eighteen years and the complainant of the right to make an application for the order; and
 - (b) on application made by the complainant, the prosecutor or any such witness, make the order.
- (3) In proceedings in respect of an offence under section 163.1, a judge or justice shall make an order directing that any information that could identify a witness who is under the age of eighteen years, or any person who is the subject of a representation, written material or a recording that constitutes child pornography within the meaning of that section, shall not be published in any document or broadcast or transmitted in any way.
- (4) An order made under this section does not apply in respect of the disclosure of information in the course of the administration of justice when it is not the purpose of the disclosure to make the information known in the community. 2005, c. 32, s. 15; 2005, c. 43, s. 8(3)(b).
- **486.6 (1)** Every person who fails to comply with an order made under subsection 486.4(1), (2) or (3) or 486.5(1) or (2) is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.
- (2) For greater certainty, an order referred to in subsection (1) applies to prohibit, in relation to proceedings taken against any person who fails to comply with the order, the publication in any document or the broadcasting or transmission in any way of information that could identify a victim, witness or justice system participant whose identity is protected by the order. 2005, c. 32, s. 15.

COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO

CITATION: R. v. F.E.D., 2012 ONCA 800

DATE: 20121119 DOCKET: C50843

Goudge, Sharpe and Gillese JJ.A.

BETWEEN

Her Majesty the Queen

Respondent

and

F.E.D.

Appellant

C. Anik Morrow, for the appellant

Deborah Krick, for the respondent

Heard: November 15, 2012

On appeal from the sentence imposed on February 20, 2009 by Justice Ratushny of the Superior Court of Justice, sitting without a jury.

APPEAL BOOK ENDORSEMENT

- [1] In our view the trial judge did not decide that the predicate offence automatically constituted a serious personal injury offence.
- [2] Moreover, there was ample evidence on which the trial judge could properly conclude that these facts constituted conduct likely to inflict severe psychological damage and which did in fact inflict such damage.

- [3] There is no reason to interfere with that finding or with the subsequent conclusion that there was no basis for a long term offender designation and that a dangerous offender designation was necessary.
- [4] The appeal is dismissed.