## WARNING

# THIS IS AN APPEAL UNDER THE YOUTH CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT

#### AND IS SUBJECT TO:

- **110. (1)** Subject to this section, no person shall publish the name of a young person, or any other information related to a young person, if it would identify the young person as a young person dealt with under this Act.
- **111. (1)** Subject to this section, no person shall publish the name of a child or young person, or any other information related to a child or a young person, if it would identify the child or young person as having been a victim of, or as having appeared as a witness in connection with, an offence committed or alleged to have been committed by a young person.
- 138. (1) Every person who contravenes subsection 110(1) (identity of offender not to be published), 111(1) (identity of victim or witness not to be published), 118(1) (no access to records unless authorized) or 128(3) (disposal of R.C.M.P. records) or section 129 (no subsequent disclosure) of this Act, or subsection 38(1) (identity not to be published), (1.12) (no subsequent disclosure), (1.14) (no subsequent disclosure by school) or (1.15) (information to be kept separate), 45(2) (destruction of records) or 46(1) (prohibition against disclosure) of the *Young Offenders Act*, chapter Y-1 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1985,
  - (a) is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years; or
  - (b) is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.

## WARNING

The President of the panel hearing this appeal directs that the following should be attached to the file:

An order restricting publication in this proceeding under ss. 486.4(1), (2), (3) or (4) or 486.6(1) or (2) of the *Criminal Code* shall continue. These sections of the *Criminal Code* provide:

- **486.4** (1) Subject to subsection (2), the presiding judge or justice may make an order directing that any information that could identify the complainant or a witness shall not be published in any document or broadcast or transmitted in any way, in proceedings in respect of
  - (a) any of the following offences;
    - (i) an offence under section 151, 152, 153, 153.1, 155, 159, 160, 162, 163.1, 170, 171, 172, 172.1, 173, 210, 211, 212, 213, 271, 272, 273, 279.01, 279.02, 279.03, 346 or 347,
    - (ii) an offence under section 144 (rape), 145 (attempt to commit rape), 149 (indecent assault on female), 156 (indecent assault on male) or 245 (common assault) or subsection 246(1) (assault with intent) of the *Criminal Code*, chapter C-34 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1970, as it read immediately before January 4, 1983, or
    - (iii) an offence under subsection 146(1) (sexual intercourse with a female under 14) or (2) (sexual intercourse with a female between 14 and 16) or section 151 (seduction of a female between 16 and 18), 153 (sexual intercourse with step-daughter), 155 (buggery or bestiality), 157 (gross indecency), 166 (parent or guardian procuring defilement) or 167 (householder permitting defilement) of the *Criminal Code*, chapter C-34 of the Revised Statutes of Canada, 1970, as it read immediately before January 1, 1988; or
  - (b) two or more offences being dealt with in the same proceeding, at least one of which is an offence referred to in any of subparagraphs (a)(i) to (iii).

- (2) In proceedings in respect of the offences referred to in paragraph (1)(a) or (b), the presiding judge or justice shall
  - (a) at the first reasonable opportunity, inform any witness under the age of eighteen years and the complainant of the right to make an application for the order; and
  - (b) on application made by the complainant, the prosecutor or any such witness, make the order.
- (3) In proceedings in respect of an offence under section 163.1, a judge or justice shall make an order directing that any information that could identify a witness who is under the age of eighteen years, or any person who is the subject of a representation, written material or a recording that constitutes child pornography within the meaning of that section, shall not be published in any document or broadcast or transmitted in any way.
- (4) An order made under this section does not apply in respect of the disclosure of information in the course of the administration of justice when it is not the purpose of the disclosure to make the information known in the community. 2005, c. 32, s. 15; 2005, c. 43, s. 8(3)(b).
- **486.6 (1)** Every person who fails to comply with an order made under subsection 486.4(1), (2) or (3) or 486.5(1) or (2) is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.
- (2) For greater certainty, an order referred to in subsection (1) applies to prohibit, in relation to proceedings taken against any person who fails to comply with the order, the publication in any document or the broadcasting or transmission in any way of information that could identify a victim, witness or justice system participant whose identity is protected by the order. 2005, c. 32, s. 15.

### COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO

CITATION: R. v. A.F., 2012 ONCA 790

DATE: 20121116 DOCKET: C53728

Doherty, LaForme JJ.A. and Glithero J. (ad hoc)

**BETWEEN** 

Her Majesty the Queen

Respondent

and

A.F. (A Young Person)

**Appellant** 

John Hale, for the appellant

Tracy Kozlowski, for the respondent

Heard: November 15, 2012

On appeal from the conviction entered by Justice H.L. Fraser of the Ontario Court of Justice, Youth Justice Court, dated April 18, 2011 and the sentence imposed on April 18, 2011.

#### APPEAL BOOK ENDORSEMENT

- [1] The Crown has convinced us that there was a basis upon which a reasonable trier of fact could convict. The Crown has also convinced us that the verdicts are not so inconsistent as to justify a finding of unreasonableness.
- [2] We are, however, satisfied that the reasons are inadequate. The reasons are very brief and do not reveal the basis upon which the trial judge sorted

through the conflicting evidence to arrive at his result. The absence of adequate reasons is an error in law.

- [3] The hearsay statement should not have been received. It bears few, if any, indications of reliability. The declarant did have a motive to fabricate. It was very much in her interest to assist the police by providing information to them. The Crown also concedes that one of the factors relied on by the trial judge could not assist in assessing the reliability of the statement, i.e. the declarant's expressed intention to cooperate with the police. Nor does the fact that the witness understood the purpose of the interview enhance the reliability of her statement.
- [4] In our view, this was the kind of witness and the kind of evidence (observations from 15 storeys above the incident) which cried out for cross-examination before a reliability assessment could be made. The admission of the statement was an error in law.
- [5] The two errors require a new trial if the Crown concludes in all of the circumstances, including the fact that the appellant has almost completed his probation, that a new trial is in the interests of justice.